

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/084,688	Applicant(s) CHOW ET AL.	
	Examiner Marianne L. Padgett	Art Unit 1762	

All Participants:

(1) Marianne L. Padgett.

(2) Brian Steinberger.

Date of Interview: 2 November 2004

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
28

Prior art documents discussed:
NA


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MARIANNE PADGETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 28 was improperly dependant on its self. This typographical error will be corrected by examiner's amendment to change the dependence to claim 27. It was also noted that the proposed amendment associated with the interviews of 8/23-24/2004, had been improperly scanned into the file as an official amendment, with papers mixed with the cover sheet of the entered 7/30/04 after final amendment. The Examiner will have these straightened out. .